# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION 1993 ENGINEERING SENIOR DESIGN PROJECTS TO AID THE DISABLED



## Edited By John D. Enderle

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION 1993 ENGINEERING SENIOR DESIGN PROJECTS TO AID THE DISABLED

## Edited By John D. Enderle

NDSU Press, Fargo, North Dakota 58105

### **PUBLICATION POLICY**

### **Copyright and Reprint Permissions:**

No copyright is claimed for the papers in this publication. These papers may be freely reproduced and distributed as long as the source is credited.

# CONTENTS

| CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS  | viii |
|---|------|
| FOREWORD  | х    |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| CHAPTER 2 ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY  | 11   |
| A Recreational Tandem Tricycle for Severely Disabled Children             | 12   |
| A Kitchen Mobility Aid for a Cerebral Palsied Client                      | 14   |
| Bathtub Lift for a Large, Physically Disabled Person                      | 16   |
| A Portable Exerciser for the Rotator Cuff Muscles.                        | .18  |
| Wheelchair Accessible Reverse Pec-Dec Machine                             | 20   |
| Disabled Rock-Climber's Knee Orthosis                                     | 22   |
| A Busybox for a Disabled Child.   | . 24 |
| CHAPTER 3 MERCER UNIVERSITY   | , 27 |
| Beauty Pageant Wheelchair   | 28   |
| Cause-Effect Feedback System  | 30   |
| The Light Array Panel   | 32   |
| The Motorized "Sit and Spin"  | 34   |
| CHAPTER 4 MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY                                    | 37   |
| Reacher Arm for Quadriplegics   | 38   |
| Paraplegic Exercise Bike  | 40   |
| Convertible Top for Wheelchair  | .42  |
| Increasing the Stability of a Hand-Powered Tricycle for Disabled Children | .44  |
| Elevator Button Pusher  | 46   |
| Backyard Waterfall for Physically Disabled Children                       | .48  |

| A Pump Assist Device  | 50       |
|---|----------|
| CHAPTER 5 MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  | 53       |
| Motorized Easel for a Quadriplegic Artist   | .54      |
| Horse-drawn Vehicle For Wheelchair Users.   | .56      |
| Adjustable Head Switch Mount.   | .58      |
| Independent Mobility Cart for Disabled Children.  | .60      |
| Recreational Piano Playing for a Paraplegic   | .62      |
| Modifications to a Rowing Ergometer for Use by Persons with Spinal Cord Injuries  | 64       |
| An Improved Ski Walker Design   | .66      |
| CHAPTER 6 NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY   | 69       |
| Talking Thermometer   | 70       |
| Electronic Memory Game  | 74       |
| The Teaching Telephone  | 76       |
| Wheel of Color  | 78       |
| CHAPTER 7 STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO   | . 81     |
| Wheelchair Seat Lift: Device to Increase Accessibility of Wheelchair Users  | .82      |
| Adjustable Hold Walker: A Device to Maintain a Person Attached to a Walker  | 86       |
| Wheelchair-Mounted Camera Control Mechanism: A Device Used to Facilitate the Use of Standard Automatic Camera for a Wheelchair Bound Individual | a<br>.88 |
| Low Noise Cast Cutter: A Device to Eliminate Fear in Patients   | .90      |
| Cause Switch and Effect Devices: Mechanized Toys used for Therapeutic Purposes  | 92       |
| Cause and Effect Toys   | 94       |
| Appliance Elevator: Automated Shelving Unit for Kitchen Counters  | .96      |
| The Stove Top Stirrer: A Device to Facilitate the Stirring of Food  | .98      |
| CHAPTER 8 TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY  | 101      |
| Horsemanship: Equipment for Therapeutic Horsemanship  | .102     |
| Exercise Therapy: Equipment for Pediatric Exercise Therapy  | .106     |

| Foot Steps: Responsive Foot Steps for Pediatric Gait Therapy               | .108  |
|--|-------|
| Multisurface Walkway: A Multisurface Walkway for Children                  | 110   |
| Crawlway: An Adjustable Transparent Crawl Ramp for Children.               | . 112 |
| Door Opener: A Wheelchair Triggered Door Opener for a Private Home         | 114   |
| Exercise Table: A Customized Exercise Table                                | 116   |
| Laser Pointers: Second-Generation Head-Mounted Laser Pointers              | 118   |
| Laser Keyboard: Laser Pointer-Operated Musical Keyboard                    | 120   |
| Three-Wheel Bicycle: A Three-Wheel Bicycle for a Child with Cerebral Palsy | .122  |
| CHAPTER 9 TULANE UNIVERSITY  | 125   |
| Children's Hospital Schoolroom Design Project.                             | .126  |
| An Automatic Door Opener for the Schoolroom                                | 128   |
| The Bioassist Portable Wheelchair Assist Drive                             | .130  |
| The PAT-XL Myoelectric Training Device                                     | .132  |
| The INTECH Self-Feeder   | 134   |
| Portable Powered Wheelchair Drive System                                   | .136  |
| Automatic Door Opener  | 138   |
| CHAPTER 10 THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON   | 141   |
| Voice Recognition Software   | 142   |
| Flutophone Assembly Unit.  | 144   |
| CHAPTER 11 UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE  | 147   |
| Mechanical Jar Opener  | 148   |
| Basic Wheelchair Design Modifications.                                     | .150  |
| Leg Brace Accommodating Seat   | 152   |
| Moveable Forceplates: Modifications for Cerebral Palsy Patients            | .154  |
| A Language Training Aid for Mentally and Physically Disabled Children      | 156   |
| CHAPTER 12 UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA   | 159   |
| A Motorized Therapeutic Cycle for Quadriplegics.                           | .160  |
|  |       |

| Retractable Handle Addition for a Racing Wheelchair                  |     |
|--|-----|
| Nurse-Call Wrist Switch.   | 164 |
| CHAPTER 13 UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN                |     |
| Transfer Device and Swivel Mechanism.                                |     |
| Design of a Transport Device for Two Three-Wheeled Electric Scooters | 170 |
| Tactile Pacer  | 172 |
| CHAPTER 14 UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AT DARTMOUTH                  |     |
| Single Switch Serial Mouse Emulator                                  |     |
| Single Switch Bus Mouse Emulator.                                    |     |
| CHAPTER 15 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA                               | 181 |
| Paper Product Loading Aid for a Goodwill Employee                    |     |
| TIG Welding Adaptation   |     |
| Design and Fabrication of a Child Suspension Walker                  |     |
| Design of a Weaving Loom for L'Arche Mobile Inc.                     |     |
| CHAPTER 16 UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA                    | 191 |
| Cause and Effect Table   |     |
| Visual and Auditory Stimulation Device.                              |     |
| Coin Dispenser   | 196 |
| Workstation.   | 198 |
| Time Teacher   | 200 |
| Message Board  | 202 |
| Computer Desk  | 204 |
| Patient Lifter   | 206 |
| CHAPTER 17 WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE                           | 209 |
| A Universal Arm Wheelchair Attachment                                |     |
| A Rehabilitative Mobility Device for a Child with Arthrogryposis     |     |
| A Quick-Release Pushcart.  | 214 |

| CHAPTER 18 WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY                                      | 217  |
|---|------|
| Redesign of a Mechanical Wheelchair Mounting for a Communication Device | .218 |
| ABC: Audio Box Communicator.  | .222 |
| Design of an Adjustable Computer Table.                                 | .224 |
| Remote-Controlled Switch-Activated Visual Learning Aid                  | 226  |
| A Low Table Design  | 228  |
| CHAPTER 19 UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING  | 231  |
| Voice Activated Door Opener   | 232  |
| Speech Volume Training Tool.  | .234 |
| CHAPTER 20 INDEX  | 237  |

### **CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS**

Robert *Allen*, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

Ronald C. Anderson, Biomedical Engineering, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118

Holly K. Ault, Mechanical Engineering, Worchester Polytechnic Institute, 100 Institute Road, Worchester, MA 01609

R.J.Conant, Mechanical Engineering, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717

Lester *W.Cory*, Electrical Engineering Technology, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, North Dartmouth, MA 02747

John Enderle, Electrical Engineering, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58105

*Clifford D. Ferris,* Electrical Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071-3295

Jerome A. Gilbert, Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762-5465

Robert I. Gray, Biomedical Engineering, Mercer University, Macon Georgia 31207

*Robert J. Hirko*, Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, 231 Aerospace Building, Gainesville, FL 32611

*Allen H. Hoffman,* Mechanical Engineering, Worchester Polytechnic Institute, 100 Institute Road, Wor-Chester, MA 01609

*William A. Hyman,* Bioengineering Program, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

Daniel J. Krause, Electrical Engineering, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58105

Paul C. Lam, Mechanical Engineering, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325

*Edward H. McMahon*, School of Engineering, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN 37403

*Gerald E. Miller,* Bioengineering Program, Texas A&M University, TX 77843

Joseph C. Mollendorf, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260

*Edward M. O'Brien,* Biomedical Engineering, Mercer University, Macon Georgia 31207

*Chandler* Phillips, Biomedical and Human Factors Engineering, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435

Jonathan W. Pote, Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762-5465

Cecil H. Ramage, Mechanical Engineering, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688

*Charles Norman Rhodine,* Electrical Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071-3295

David A. Rice, Biomedical Engineering, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118

Blair A. Rowley, Biomedical and Human Factors Engineering, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435

*Mark G. Strauss,* Rehabilitation Education Services, University of Illinois, 1207 South Oak Street, Urbana, IL 61801

Gary Yamaguchi, Chemical, Bio, & Materials Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-6006

### **FOREWORD**

Welcome to the fifth issue of the National Science Foundation Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled. Started in 1988, the National Science Foundation (NSF) began a program to provide funds for student engineers at universities throughout the United States to construct custom designed devices and software for disabled individuals. Through the Bioengineering and Research to Aid the Disabled (BRAD) program of the Emerging Engineering Technologies Division of NSF\*, funds were awarded competitively to sixteen universities to cover supplies, equipment and fabrication costs for the design projects. A book entitled, NSF 1989 Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled was published in 1989, reporting on the projects that were funded during the first year of this effort.

The BRAD program of the Emerging Engineering Technologies Division of NSF increased the number of universities funded to twenty-two in 1989. Following completion of the design projects funded under this initiative during the academic year 1989-90, a second book was published in 1990 describing these projects, entitled, *NSF 1990 Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled.* 

In 1991, a third issue of the *NSF 1991 Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled* was published by NDSU Press. This book described the almost 150 projects carried out by students at twenty universities across the United States during the academic year 1990-91.

The fourth issue of the *NSF 2992 Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled* was published by NDSU Press in 1993. This book described the almost 150 projects carried out by students at twenty-one universities across the United States during the academic year 1991-92.

This manuscript, funded by the NSF, describes and documents **the** NSF supported senior design projects during **the** fifth year of this effort during the academic year 1992-93.

As before, the purpose of this manuscript is to report on the engineering senior design projects developed and implemented through participating schools in this NSF program. Each chapter describes the activity at a single university and, except for the introduction, was written by the principal investigator(s) at that university, and revised by the editor of this publication. Individuals wishing more information on a particular design should contact the designated supervising principal investigator. Additionally, an index is provided **so that** projects **may** be easily identified by topic.

It is hoped that this manuscript will enhance the overall quality of future senior design projects directed toward the disabled by providing examples of previous projects, and by motivating other universities to participate because of the potential benefits to the student, school, and community. Moreover, **the** new technologies used in these projects will provide examples in a broad range of applications for new engineers. The ultimate goal of both this publication and all the projects that were built under this initiative is to assist disabled individuals in reaching toward their maximum potential for enjoyable and productive life.

It should be evident from reviewing this manuscript that this NSF program has brought together individuals with widely varied backgrounds. Through the richness of these interests, a wide variety of projects were completed, and are in use. A number of different technologies were incorporated in the design projects, so as to maximize the impact of the device on the individual.

For the most part, a two-page project description format is used in this text. Each project is described with a nontechnical description, followed by a summary of impact that illustrates the effect of the project on the disabled person's life. A detailed technical description then follows. Photographs of the devices and other important components are incorporated throughout the manuscript. Some of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In January of 1994 the Directorate for Engineering (ENG) was restructured. This program is now in the Division of Bioengineering and Environmental Systems, Biomedical Engineering & Research Aiding Persons with Disabilities Program.

projects are described with a much more extensive description covering many pages; these projects are typically the first or last project in the chapter.

It should be noted that none of the faculty received financial remuneration supervising the building devices or writing software for the disabled in this program. Each participating university has made a commitment to the program for a five-year period. A yearly review publication is planned, and it is anticipated that additional universities will choose to participate in the future, so that an even greater impact on the lives of the disabled may be achieved.

Sincere thanks are extended to Dr. Allen Zelman, Program Director of the NSF BRAD program, for being the prime mover behind this initiative. Additionally, thanks are extended to Drs. Peter G. Katona and Karen M. Mudry, former NSF Program Directors of the Biomedical Engineering and Research to Aid Persons with Disabilities Programs, who have continued to support and expand the program.

I wish to acknowledge and thank Ms. Shari Valenta for the cover illustration and the artwork throughout the book, drawn from her observations at the Children's Hospital Accessibility Resource Center in Denver, Colorado. I also wish to acknowledge and thank Ms. Barbara Mykleseth and Ms. Kathy Sjostrom for their administrative assistance during the preparation of this publication. Additionally, I wish to acknowledge and thank Joyce Mayo for drawing the technical illustrations used throughout the book.

The information in this publication is not restricted in any way. Individuals are encouraged to use the project descriptions in the design of future design projects for the disabled. The NSF and the editor make no representations or warranties of any kind with respect to these senior design projects, and specifically disclaim any liability for any incidental or consequential damages arising from the use of this publication. The projects presented here have been implemented in the fifth year of this initiative; they have a wide range of depth and usefulness. Faculty members using the book as a guide thus should exercise good judgment when advising students.

For more information on this NSF program contact:

Dr. John D. Enderle, Program Director Biomedical Engineering & Research Aiding Persons with Disabilities Program Room 565 National Science Foundation 4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, Virginian 22230 Voice: (703) 306-1319 FAX: (703) 306-0312 email: jenderle@nsf.gov

Observant readers will note that I currently wear two hats with respect to this NSF program. Also note that the National Science Foundation moved to the address given above during the Fall 1993.

It is hoped that this book serves as a catalyst and a source of information for future design work. The editor welcomes any suggestions as to how this review may be made more useful for subsequent yearly issues. Feel free to use either address or numbers given on this page to contact me.

John D. Enderle, Editor Department of Electrical Engineering North Dakota State University Fargo, North Dakota 58105 Voice: (701) 231-7689 FAX: (701) 231-8677 e-mail: j.enderle@ieee.org

December 1994

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION 1993 ENGINEERING SENIOR DESIGN PROJECTS TO AID THE DISABLED

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Devices and software to aid persons with disabilities often need custom modification, are prohibitively expensive, or nonexistent. Much of the disabled community does not have access to custom modification of available devices and other benefits of current technology. Moreover, when available, engineering and support salaries make the cost of any custom modifications beyond the reach of the disabled.

It has been recently reported that over 35 million people in the United States have disabling conditions. More than 9 million Americans have significant mental or physical conditions that prevent them from being able to carry out the major activity of their age group (that is, play, attend school, work, or maintain a household). These numbers are rapidly increasing due to advances in medicine that extend life expectancy. Today, the average American spends approximately 12 years of their life as a person with disabilities. Besides the enormous suffering experienced by the disabled community, disability imposes an enormous cost to the nation, totaling 6.5% of the gross national product (greater than \$170 billion).

Aside from the economic cost to the United States due to disabilities, there is the vitally important consequence of the disability to the individual. Every American has either a disability or an indirect contact with a disabled person (that is, a family member or close friend). Disability ranks as America's greatest health problem in terms of the number of individuals affected and the economic impact.

In 1988, the National Science Foundation (NSF) provided a mechanism, through the Bioengineering and Research to Aid the Disabled program of the Emerging Engineering Technologies Division of NSF, whereby student engineers at universities throughout the United States designed and built devices for persons with disabilities. This NSF program has enhanced the educational opportunities

for students and improved the quality of life for disabled individuals. Students and university faculty provided, through their normal Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) accredited senior design class, engineering time to design and build the device or software, and the NSF provided funds, competitively awarded to universities for supplies, equipment and fabrication costs for the design projects.

This book describes the NSF supported senior design projects during the fifth year of this effort during the academic year 1992-93. The map in Figure 1.1 shows the locations of the Principal Investigators participating in the program during this academic year. The introduction provides some background material on this book, elements of design, and illustrative engineering design experiences at two universities participating in this effort.

After the introduction, eighteen chapters follow, with each chapter devoted to one participating school. The chapters begin by completely identifying the school and the principal investigator(s). Following the chapter introduction for the school, each senior design project description is written using the following format. On page one, the individuals involved with the project are completely identified, including the student(s), the professor(s) who supervised the project, and the many professionals involved in the daily lives and education of the disabled individual. A brief nontechnical description of the project follows with a summary of the impact on how the project has improved the quality of life of the disabled person. A photograph of the device or the device modification is usually included. Following this, a technical description of the device or device modification is given, with parts specified only if they are of such a special nature that the project could not be fabricated without knowing the exact identity of the part. An approximate cost of the project is provided, excluding personnel costs.

Most projects are described in two pages. However, the first project in each chapter is usually significantly longer and contains more analytic content. Individuals wishing more information on a particular design should contact the designated supervising principal investigator.

The purpose of this publication is two-fold. One obvious purpose is to serve as a reference or handbook for future senior design projects. If this goal is achieved, the quality of senior design projects will improve and an even greater project impact will be felt by persons with disabilities. Additionally, students will be exposed to this unique body of applied information on current technology, thus providing an even broader education, especially in the area of rehabilitation design. Many technological advances originate from work in the space, defense, entertainment and communications industry. Few of these advances have been applied to the rehabilitation field, making the contributions of this NSF Program all the more important.

Secondly, it is hoped that this publication serves to motivate both student and graduate engineers and others, to work more actively in rehabilitation, leading to an increased technology and knowledge base to effectively address the needs of persons with disabilities.



gure 1.1. Participating University Sites in the NSF Engineering Senior Design Projects to Aid the Disabled Program.

In the past, students were typically involved in design projects that enabled students to improve the quality of their life, for instance, by designing and constructing a stereo receiver. Under this NSF program, engineering students at the universities participating in this initiative are involved with designs

that result in an original device or a custom modification of a device that improves the quality of life for a person with disabilities. The engineering design students are provided an opportunity for practical and creative problem solving to address a welldefined need, and the disabled person receives the

product of that process. There is no financial cost incurred by disabled persons participating in this NSF program; upon completion, the finished project becomes the property of the individual for whom it was designed.

Under faculty supervision, students developed specific projects, through their senior design classes, to address the identified needs of particular disabled individuals. Local school districts and hospitals participated in the effort by referring interested individuals to the program. Each project is specifically designed for a disabled individual or a group of disabled individuals with a similar need by a single student or a team of students.

The emphasis of the program is to:

- Provide disabled children and adults, student-engineered devices or software to improve their quality of life and provide greater self-sufficient capability.
- Enhance the education of student engineers by designing and building a device or software that meets a real need.
- Allow the university an opportunity for unique service to the local community.

Some of the projects described here are custom modifications of existing devices, modifications that would be prohibitively expensive to the disabled individual were it not for the student engineer and this NSF program. Other projects are unique one-ofa kind devices wholly designed and constructed by the student for the disabled individual.

Some projects built in years past include a laserpointing device for people who cannot use their hands, a speech aid, a behavior modification device, a hands-free automatic answering and hang-up telephone system, and an infrared beacon to help the blind move around a room. The students participating in this project have been singularly rewarded through their activity with the disabled, and justly have experienced a unique sense of purpose and pride in their accomplishment.

### **Engineering Design**

As part of the accreditation process for university engineering programs, students are required to complete a minimum number of design credits in their course of study, typically at the senior level. Many call this the *capstone course*. Engineering design is a course or series of courses that bring together concepts and principles that students learn in their field of study-it involves the integration and extension of material learned in their major toward a specific project. Most often, the student is exposed to system-wide analysis, critique and evaluation for the first time. Design is an iterative, decision making process in which the student optimally applies previously learned material to meet a stated objective.

There are two approaches to teaching engineering design, the traditional or discipline-dependent approach, and the holistic approach. The traditional approach involves reducing a system or problem into separate discipline-defined components. This approach minimizes the essential nature of the system as a holistic or complete unit, and often neglects the interactions that take place between the components. The traditional approach usually involves a sequential, iterative approach to the system or problem, and emphasizes simple cause-effect relationship.

Another approach to engineering design adheres to treating the problem from a holistic viewpoint. This approach is much easier today with the availability of powerful computers and engineering software packages, and the use of systems theory which addresses inter-relationships within the system. Rather than partitioning a project based on discipline-defined components, the holistic view partitions the project according to the emergent properties of the problem.

Design is not a course substitute for the deficiencies that exist in a department's curriculum. It is an approach to problem solving for large-scale, openended, complex and sometimes ill-defined systems. The emphasis of design is not on learning new material. Typically, there are no required textbooks for the design course, and only a minimal number of lectures are presented to the student. Design is best described as an individual study course where the student:

- selects the device or system to design
- writes specifications
- creates a paper design

- analyzes the paper design
- · constructs the device
- evaluates the device
- documents the design project

#### **Project Selection**

In a typical NSF design project for a person with disabilities, the student meets with the client (a disabled person and/or their coordinator) to assess the needs of the disabled person, and help identify a useful project. Often, the student meets with many clients before finding a project of interest that suits their background.

Some projects are carried out by a team of students. The team approach is similar to that of an individual student. One or more members of the team meets with one or more clients before selecting a project. After project selection, the project is partitioned by the team into logical parts, and each student is assigned one of these parts. Usually, a team leader is elected by the team to ensure that project goals and schedules are satisfied.

After selecting a project, the student then writes a brief description of the project for approval by the faculty supervisor. Since feedback at this stage of the process is vitally important for a successful project, students usually meet with the client once again to review the project description.

Project selection is highly variable depending on the university, and the local health care facilities. Some universities make use of existing technology to develop projects to aid the disabled by accessing databases such as ABLEDATA. ABLEDATA is available on CD-ROM from:

National Rehabilitation Information Center 8455 Colesville Road Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (301) 588-9284 or (800) 227-0216

#### **Specifications**

One of the most important parts of the design process is determining the requirements that the design project must fulfill. These requirements are called specifications. There are many different types of specifications, including specifications for hardware and software.

Prior to the design of a project, a statement as to how the device will function is required based on operational specifications. These specifications determine the problem to be solved. The operational specifications completely describe and define the project. Specifications are defined such that any competent engineer is able to design a device that will perform a given function. If several engineers design a device from the same specifications, all of the designs would perform within the given tolerances and satisfy the requirements; however, each design would be different. Specifications determine the device to be built, but do not provide any information about how the device is built. No manufacturer's name or components are stated in specifications. For example, specifications do not list electronic components or even a microprocessor since use of these components implies that a design choice has been made.

If the design project involves modifying an existing device, the device should be fully described in as much detail as possible in the specifications. In this case, it is desired to describe the device by discussing specific components, such as the microprocessor, LEDs, and electronic components. This level of detail in describing the existing device is appropriate because it defines the environment to which the design project must interface. However, the specifications for the modification should not provide any information about how the device is to be built.

Specifications are usually written in a report which qualitatively describes the project as completely as possible, and how the project will improve the life of the disabled person. It is also important to provide motivation for carrying out the project in the specifications. The following issues are also addressed in the specifications:

- What will the finished device do?
- What is unusual about the device?

Specifications also include a technical description of the device, and contain, usually in tabular format, all of the facts and figures needed to complete the design project. The following are examples of important items included in technical specifications.

#### **Electrical Parameters**

interfaces voltages impedances gains power output power input ranges current capabilities harmonic distortion stability accuracy precision power consumption

#### Mechanical

size weight durability accuracy precision vibration

#### Environmental

location temperature range moisture dust

#### Paper Design and Analysis

The next phase of the design is the generation of possible solutions to the problem based on the specifications, and selecting the optimal solution. This involves creating a paper design for each of the solutions and evaluating performance based on the specifications. Since design projects are openended, many solutions exist, solutions which often require a multidisciplinary system or holistic approach for a successful and useful project. This stage of the design process is typically the most challenging because of the creative aspect to generating problem solutions.

The specifications previously described are the criteria for selecting the best design solution. In many projects, some specifications are more important than others and tradeoffs between specifications may be necessary. In fact, it may be impossible to design a project that satisfies all of the design specifications. Specifications that involve some degree of flexibility are helpful in reducing the overall complexity, cost and effort in carrying out the project. Some specifications are absolute and cannot be relaxed whatsoever. Most projects are designed in a top-down approach similar to the approach of writing computer software by first starting with a flow chart. After the flow chart or block diagram is complete, the next step involves providing additional details to each block in the flow chart. This continues until sufficient detail exists to determine whether the design meets the specifications after evaluation.

To select the optimal design, it is necessary to analyze and evaluate the possible solutions. For ease in analysis, it is usually easiest to use computer software. For example, PSpice, a circuit analysis program, easily analyzes circuit analysis problems. Other situations require a potential design project solution be partially constructed or breadboarded for analysis and evaluation. After analysis of all possible solutions, the optimal design selected is the one that meets the specifications most closely.

#### **Construction and Evaluation of the Device**

After selecting the optimal design, the student then constructs the device. The best method of construction is to build the device module by module. By building the project in this fashion, the student is able to test each module for correct operation before adding it to the complete device, composed of previously tested modules. It is far easier to eliminate problems module by module than to build the entire project, and then attempt to eliminate problems.

Design projects should be analyzed and constructed with safety as one of the highest priorities. Clearly, the design project that fails, should fail in a safe manner, a fail-safe mode, without any dramatic and harmful outcomes to the client or those around them. An example of a fail-safe mode of operation for an electrical device involves grounding the chassis, and using appropriate fuses; thus if ever a 120-V line voltage short circuit to the chassis should develop, a fuse would blow and no harm to the client would occur. Devices should also be protected against runaway conditions during the operation of the device, and also during periods of rest. Failure of **any** critical components in a device should result in the complete shut down of the device.

After the project has undergone laboratory testing, it is then tested in the field with the client. After the field test, modifications are made to the project, and then the project is given to the disabled person. Ideally, the design project in use by the disabled person should be periodically evaluated for performance and usefulness after the project. Evaluation typically occurs, however, when the device no longer performs adequately for the disabled person, and is returned to the university for repair or modification. If the repair or modification is simple, a university technician will handle the problem. If the repair or modification is more extensive, another design student is assigned to the project to handle the problem as part of their design course requirements.

#### **Documentation**

Throughout the design process, the student is required to document the optimal solution to the problem through a series of required written assignments. For the final report, documenting the design project involves integrating each of the required reports into a single final document. While this should be a simple exercise, it is usually a most vexing and difficult endeavor. Many times during the final stages of the project, some specifications are changed, or extensive modifications to the ideal paper design are necessary.

Most universities also require the final report be professionally prepared using desktop publishing software. This requires that all circuit diagrams and mechanical drawings need to be professionally drawn. These illustrations are drawn with computer software, such as OrCAD or Autocad.

The two-page reports within this publication are not representative of the final reports submitted for design course credit, and in fact, are a summary of the final report. A typical final report for a design project is approximately 20 pages in length, and includes extensive analysis supporting the operation of the design project. Usually, photographs of the device are not included in the final report since mechanical and electrical diagrams are more useful to the engineer to document the device.

The next two sections illustrate two different approaches to the design course experience. At Texas A&M University, the students work on many small design projects during the two-semester senior design course sequence. At North Dakota State University, students work on a single project during the two-semester senior design course sequence.

### **Texas A&M University Engineering Design Experiences**

The objective of the NSF program at Texas A&M University is to provide senior bioengineering students an experience in the design and development of rehabilitation devices and equipment to meet explicit client needs identified at several off campus rehabilitation and education facilities. Texas A&M has participated in the NSF program for the past The students meet with therapists five years. and/or special education teachers for problem definition under the supervision of the faculty. The type of design experience offered in this program provides very significant "real world" design experiences, emphasizing completion of a finished product. Moreover, the program brings needed technical expertise to the not-for-profit rehabilitation service providers that would otherwise not be available to them. Additional benefits to the participating students involve their development of an appreciation of the problems of disabled persons, motivation toward rehabilitation engineering as a career path, and recognition of the need for more long-term research to address the problems for which today's designs are only an incomplete solution.

The engineering design experience in the bioengineering program at Texas A&M University involves a two-course capstone design sequence, BIEN 441 and 442. BIEN 441 is offered during the Fall and Summer semesters, and BIEN 442 is offered during **the** Spring semester. The inclusion of the summer term allows a full year of ongoing design activities. Students are allowed to select a rehabilitation design project, or another general bioengineering design project.

The faculty at Texas A&M University involved with the rehabilitation design course (Drs. William Hyman and Gerald Miller) have worked in collaboration with the local school districts, community rehabilitation centers, residential units of the Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation (MHMR), community outreach programs of Texas MHMR, and individual clients of the Texas Rehabilitation Commission and Texas Commission for the Blind.

Appropriate design projects are identified in group meetings between **the** staff of the collaborating agency, the faculty, and the participating undergraduate students enrolled in the design class. In addition, one student is employed in the design laboratory during the summer to provide logistical support, as well as pursue their own project. Each student is required to participate in the project definition session, which adds to the overall design experience. The meetings take place at the beginning of each semester, and periodically thereafter as projects are completed and new ones identified.

Faculty feel that the needs expressed by the collaborating agencies often result in projects of varying complexity, and corresponding time to completion. To meet the broad spectrum of needs, simpler projects are accommodated by requiring their rapid completion, at which point the students move on to another project. More difficult projects involve one or more semesters, or even a year's effort; these projects are the ones that typically require more substantial quantitative and related engineering analysis. Projects are carried out by individual students or a team of two.

Following the project definition, the students proceed through the formal design process of brain storming, clarification of specifications, preliminary design, review with the collaborating agency, design execution and safety analysis, documentation, prerelease design review, and delivery and implementation in the field. The execution phase of the design includes identifying and purchasing necessary components and materials, arranging for any fabrication services that may be necessary, and obtaining photography for their project reports. Throughout each phase of the project, the work is supervised by the faculty, as well as the teaching assistants assigned to the rehabilitation engineering laboratory. These teaching assistants are paid with university funds. The students also have continued access to the agency staffs for clarification or revision of project definitions, and review of preliminary designs. The latter is an important aspect of meeting real needs with useful devices. In addition to individual and team progress, the rehabilitation engineering group meets as a group to discuss design ideas and project progress, and to plan further visits to the agencies.

One challenging aspect of having students responsible for projects that are eagerly anticipated by the intended recipient is the sometimes variable quality of student work, and the inappropriateness of sending inadequate projects into the field. This potential problem is resolved at Texas A&M University in their program by continuous project review, and the requirement that the project be revised and reworked until it meets approval by the faculty.

At the end of each academic year, an evaluation is conducted by the faculty with the personnel from each collaborating agency, to assess which types of projects met with the greatest success in achieving useful, delivered devices. This review has provided an ongoing guidance in the selection of future projects. The faculty also maintain continuous contact with agency personnel with respect to ongoing projects, and past projects that require repair or modification. In some instances, repairs are assigned as short term projects to currently participating students. This provides an excellent lesson in the importance of adequate documentation.

Feedback from participating students is gathered each semester using the Texas A&M University student oppinionaire form, as well as personal discussion. The objective of the reviews has been to obtain the student's assessment of the educational value of the rehabilitation design program, the adequacy of the resources and supervision, and any suggestions for improving the process.

### North Dakota State University Engineering Design Experience

North Dakota State University (NDSU) has participated in this program for the past five years. All senior electrical engineering students at NDSU are now required to complete a two semester senior design project as part of their study. Previously, only the students in the biomedical engineering option of the electrical engineering department were required to complete a two semester senior design project as part of their study; with a curriculum change now in effect, all electrical engineering students are to meet this requirement with a design project to aid the disabled. These students are partitioned into faculty supervised teams of four-to-six students. Each team designs and builds a device for a particular disabled individual within eastern North Dakota or western Minnesota.

During the early stages of NDSU's participation in projects to aid the disabled, a major effort was undertaken to develop a complete and workable interface between the NDSU electrical engineering department and the disabled community to identify possible projects to aid the disabled. These organizations are the Fargo Public School System, NDSU Student Services and the Anne Carlson School. Mechanisms are in place so that NDSU students are allowed to visit disabled individuals, or their supervisors, to identify possible design projects at one of the cooperating organizations. All of the senior design students visit one of these organizations at least once. After the site visit, the students write a report on at least one potential design project, and each team selects a project to aid a disabled individual.

The process of a design project is implemented in two parts. During the first semester of the senior year, each team writes a report describing the project to aid a disabled individual. Each report consists of an introduction to the project establishing the need for the project. The body of the report describes the device; a complete and detailed engineering analysis is included to establish that the device has the potential to work. Almost all of the NDSU projects involve an electronic circuit. Typically, devices that involve an electrical circuit are analyzed using PSPICE, or another software analysis program. Extensive testing is undertaken on subsystem components using breadboard circuit layouts to ensure a' reasonable degree of success before writing the report. Circuits are drawn for the report using OrCAD, a CAD program. The OrCAD drawings are also used in the second phase of design, which allows the students to bring a circuit from the schematic to a printed circuit board with relative ease.

During the second semester of the senior year, each team builds the device to aid the disabled individual. This first involves breadboarding the entire circuit to establish the viability of the design. After verification, the students build a printed circuit board(s) using OrCAD, and then finish the construction of the project using the fabrication facility in the electrical engineering department. The device is then fully tested, and after approval by the senior design faculty advisor, the device is given to the disabled individual. Each of the student design teams receives feedback throughout the year from the disabled individual (or their coordinator) to ensure that the design meets its intended goal.

Each of the design teams provide an oral presentation during regularly held seminars in the department. In the past, local TV stations have filmed the demonstration of the senior design projects, and broadcast the tape on their news show. This media exposure usually results in viewers contacting the electrical engineering department with requests for projects to improve the life of another disabled individual.

Design facilities are provided in three separate laboratories for analysis, prototyping, testing, printed circuit board layout, fabrication, and redesign/development. The first laboratory is a room for the teams to meet during the initial stages of the design. Data books and other resources are available in this room. There are also twelve workstations available for teams to test their design, and verify that the design parameters have been meet. These workstations consist of a power supply, waveform generator, oscilloscope, breadboard, and a collection of hand tools.

The second laboratory contains three 486 computers operating at 33MHz or better, and a 8088 computer for microprocessor testing. The 486 computers all have analysis, CAD and desktop publishing capabilities so that students may easily bring their design projects from the idea to implementation stage. Analysis software supported include Microsoft EXCEL and Lotus 123 spreadsheets, PSpice, MATLAB, MATHCAD, and VisSim. Desktop publishing supported includes Microsoft Word for Windows, Aldus Pagemaker, technical illustration software via Autocad and OrCAD. A scanner with image enhancement software, and a high resolution printer are also available in the laboratory.

The third laboratory is used by the teams for fabrication. Six workstations exist for breadboard testing, soldering, and finish work involving printed circuit boards. Sufficient countertop space exists so that teams may leave their projects in a secure location for ease in work.

The electrical engineering department maintains a relatively complete inventory of electronic components necessary for these design projects, and when not in stock, has the ability to order parts with minimal delay. The department also has a teaching assistant assigned to this course on a year round basis, and an electronics technician available for help in the analysis and construction of the design project.

There were many projects constructed at NDSU (and probably at many other universities) that proved to be unusable (and sometimes unsafe) for the intended disabled individual, despite the best efforts of the student teams under the supervision of the faculty advisors. These projects are undocumented to protect the innocent and are best forgotten.

### Other Engineering Design Experiences

Experiences at other universities participating in this NSF program combine many of the design program

elements that are presented for Texas A&M University and North Dakota State University. In addition to the design process elements already described, the State University of New York at Buffalo under the direction of Dr. Joseph Mollendorf, requires that each student go through the preliminary stages of a patent application. Naturally, those projects worthy of a patent application are actually submitted. Thus far, a patent was issued for a "Four-Limb Exercising Attachment for Wheelchairs" and another patent has been allowed for a "Cervical Orthosis," which will be issued sometime this year.

Each of these university programs are unique and offer their own way of implementing student designed and constructed projects to aid persons with disabilities. The senior design projects constructed by these students have proved beneficial to the student, the disabled individual, the community, and the university. The impact of these grants on the student is felt not only during the time of project construction and afterwards in the project's use by the disabled individual, but also in the involvement of these students into community-minded service.

